

Optimal Recovery of Operators and Multidimensional Carlson Type Inequalities

K. Yu. Osipenko¹,

*MATI — Russian State Technological University
Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow*

Abstract

The paper is concerned with recovery problems of linear multiplier-type operators from noisy information on weighted classes of functions. Optimal methods of recovery are constructed. The dual extremal problem is closely connected with Carlson type inequalities.

Keywords: optimal recovery, linear operator, Fourier transform, inequalities for derivatives

2010 MSC: 41A65, 41A46, 49N30

1. General Setting

Let T be a nonempty set, Σ be the σ -algebra of subsets of T , and μ be a nonnegative σ -additive measure on Σ . We denote by $L_p(T, \Sigma, \mu)$ (or simply $L_p(T, \mu)$) the set of all Σ -measurable functions with values in \mathbb{R} or in \mathbb{C} for which

$$\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T, \mu)} = \left(\int_T |x(t)|^p d\mu(t) \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$
$$\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(T, \mu)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in T} |x(t)| < \infty, \quad p = \infty.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{W} = \{x(\cdot) \in L_p(T, \mu) : \|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T, \mu)} < \infty\},$$
$$W = \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W} : \|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T, \mu)} \leq 1\},$$

where $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, and $\varphi(\cdot)$ is a measurable function on T . Consider the problem of recovery of operator $\Lambda: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow L_q(T, \mu)$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, defined by equality $\Lambda x(\cdot) = \psi(\cdot)x(\cdot)$, where $\psi(\cdot)$ is a measurable function on T , on the

Email address: kosipenko@yahoo.com (K. Yu. Osipenko)

¹The research was carried out with the financial support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant nos. 14-01-00456, 14-01-00744)

class W by the information about functions $x(\cdot) \in W$ given inaccurately. More precisely, we assume that for any function $x(\cdot) \in W$ we know $y(\cdot) \in L_p(T_0, \mu)$, where T_0 is not empty μ -measurable subset of T , such that $\|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta$, $\delta \geq 0$. We want to approximate the value $\Lambda x(\cdot)$ knowing $y(\cdot)$.

As recovery methods we consider all possible mappings

$$m: L_p(T_0, \mu) \rightarrow L_q(T, \mu).$$

The error of a method m is defined as

$$e(p, q, r, m) = \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W, y(\cdot) \in L_p(T_0, \mu) \\ \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta}} \|\Lambda x(\cdot) - m(y)(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T, \mu)}.$$

The quantity

$$E(p, q, r) = \inf_{m: L_p(T_0, \mu) \rightarrow L_q(T, \mu)} e(p, q, r, m) \quad (1)$$

is known as the optimal recovery error, and a method on which this infimum is attained is called optimal.

Various settings of optimal recovery theory and examples of such problems may be found in [11], [12], [18], [17], [15], [13]. Much of them are devoted to optimal recovery of linear functionals. There are not so many results about optimal recovery of linear operators when non-Euclidean metrics is used ([12, Theorem 12 on p. 45], [10], [14]). In [14] we considered problem (1) when any two of p , q , and r coincide. Here we analyze the case when all metrics can be different and $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$. We construct optimal method of recovery, find its error, and apply this result to obtain exact constants in Carlson type inequalities. The case $p = \infty$ and/or $r = \infty$ requires a slightly different approach. Some particular results of such kind may be found in [7] ($T = \mathbb{Z}$) and [8] ($T = \mathbb{R}$).

2. Main results

Let $\chi_0(\cdot)$ be the characteristic function of the set T_0 :

$$\chi_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in T_0, \\ 0, & t \notin T_0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$, $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T \setminus T_0$, $\hat{x}(t) = \hat{x}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \geq 0$ be a solution of equation*

$$-q|\psi(t)|^q + p\lambda_1 x^{p-q}(t)\chi_0(t) + r\lambda_2 |\varphi(t)|^r x^{r-q}(t) = 0, \quad (2)$$

and λ_1, λ_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_0} \hat{x}^p(t) d\mu(t) &\leq \delta^p, & \int_T |\varphi(t)|^r \hat{x}^r(t) d\mu(t) &\leq 1, \\ \lambda_1 \left(\int_{T_0} \hat{x}^p(t) d\mu(t) - \delta^p \right) &= 0, & \lambda_2 \left(\int_T |\varphi(t)|^r \hat{x}^r(t) d\mu(t) - 1 \right) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and $\lambda_2 > 0$, if $T \setminus T_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$E(p, q, r) = \left(\frac{p\lambda_1\delta^p + r\lambda_2}{q} \right)^{1/q},$$

and the method

$$\widehat{m}(y)(t) = \begin{cases} q^{-1}p\lambda_1\widehat{x}^{p-q}(t)|\psi(t)|^{-q}\psi(t)y(t), & t \in T_0, \psi(t) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

is optimal recovery method.

To prove this theorem we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 1.

$$E(p, q, r) \geq \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W \\ \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta}} \|\Lambda x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T, \mu)}. \quad (5)$$

The lower bound of type (5) is the well-known result which is usually applied to obtain the error of optimal recovery. In more or less general forms it was proved in many papers (see, for example, [14]).

The extremal problem which arises on the right-hand side of (5), known as the dual problem, may be written as

$$\|\psi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T, \mu)} \rightarrow \max, \quad \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta, \quad \|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T, \mu)} \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

For $T_0 = T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q = 1$ problem (6) was examined in [2] in connection with Stechkin's problem.

We give a straightforward result (resembling the sufficient conditions in the Kuhn-Tucker theorem), which we will require in solving dual problems similar to (6).

Let $f_j: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, be functions defined on some set A . Consider the extremal problem

$$f_0(x) \rightarrow \max, \quad f_j(x) \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad x \in A, \quad (7)$$

and write down its Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = -f_0(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f_j(x), \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Lemma 2 ([14]). Assume that there exist $\widehat{\lambda}_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and an element $\widehat{x} \in A$, admissible for problem (7), such that

$$(a) \quad \min_{x \in A} \mathcal{L}(x, \widehat{\lambda}) = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{x}, \widehat{\lambda}), \quad \widehat{\lambda} = (\widehat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \widehat{\lambda}_n),$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \widehat{\lambda}_j f_j(\widehat{x}) = 0.$$

Then \widehat{x} is an extremal element for problem (7).

Put

$$F(u, v, \alpha) = -((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v)^q + av^p + bu^r, \quad u, v \geq 0, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1],$$

where $a, b \geq 0$, and $1 \leq p, q, r < \infty$.

Lemma 3. *For all $a, b \geq 0$, $a + b > 0$, and all $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, there exists the unique solution $\hat{u} > 0$ of the equation*

$$-q + pau^{p-q} + rbu^{r-q} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, for all $u, v \geq 0$ and $\alpha = q^{-1}pa\hat{u}^{p-q} = 1 - q^{-1}rb\hat{u}^{r-q}$

$$F(\hat{u}, \hat{u}, \alpha) \leq F(u, v, \alpha). \quad (9)$$

In particular, for all $u \geq 0$

$$-\hat{u}^q + a\hat{u}^p + b\hat{u}^r \leq -u^q + au^p + bu^r.$$

Proof. The existence of the unique solution of (8) follows from the fact that the continuous function $f(u) = pau^{p-q} + rbu^{r-q}$ increases monotonically from 0 to $+\infty$.

Let us prove (9). The cases $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ are easily obtained by finding the minimum of $F(u, v, 0) = -u^q + bu^r$ if $a = 0$ or $F(u, v, 1) = -v^q + av^p$ if $b = 0$. Assume that $a, b > 0$. Then $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Let

$$C > \max\{a^{-\frac{1}{p-q}}, b^{-\frac{1}{r-q}}\}.$$

Then for $u \geq C$ and $v \leq u$ we have

$$F(u, v, \alpha) \geq -u^q + bu^r = u^q(-1 + bu^{r-q}) > 0. \quad (10)$$

If $v \geq C$ and $v \geq u$, then

$$F(u, v, \alpha) \geq -v^q + av^p = v^q(-1 + av^{p-q}) > 0. \quad (11)$$

Since $F(0, 0, \alpha) = 0$ we obtain that

$$\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} F(u, v, \alpha) = \inf_{\substack{0 \leq u \leq C \\ 0 \leq v \leq C}} F(u, v, \alpha).$$

It follows from the Weierstrass extreme value theorem that there exist $0 \leq u_0 \leq C$ and $0 \leq v_0 \leq C$ such that

$$\inf_{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} F(u, v, \alpha) = F(u_0, v_0, \alpha).$$

In view of (10) and (11) $u_0 < C$ and $v_0 < C$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(u, v, \alpha) &= -q((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v)^{q-1}(1 - \alpha) + rbu^{r-1} \\ &= rb(-((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v)^{q-1}\hat{u}^{r-q} + u^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $v_0 \geq 0$ and sufficiently small $u > 0$ $F_u(u, v_0, \alpha) < 0$. Consequently,

$$F(u, v_0, \alpha) < F(0, v_0, \alpha)$$

for sufficiently small u . It means that $0 < u_0 < C$. The similar arguments show that $0 < v_0 < C$. Hence

$$F_u(u_0, v_0, \alpha) = F_v(u_0, v_0, \alpha) = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} F_v(u, v, \alpha) &= -q((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v)^{q-1}\alpha + pav^{p-1} \\ &= pa(-((1 - \alpha)u + \alpha v)^{q-1}\widehat{u}^{p-q} + v^{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$-((1 - \alpha)u_0 + \alpha v_0)^{q-1}\widehat{u}^{r-q} + u_0^{r-1} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$-((1 - \alpha)u_0 + \alpha v_0)^{q-1}\widehat{u}^{p-q} + v_0^{p-1} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{u_0^{r-1}}{v_0^{p-1}} = \widehat{u}^{r-p}.$$

Suppose that $p \leq r$. Substituting

$$u_0 = \widehat{u}^{\frac{r-p}{r-1}} v_0^{\frac{p-1}{r-1}} \quad (14)$$

into (13), we obtain the equality

$$(\alpha v_0 + (1 - \alpha)\widehat{u}^{\frac{r-p}{r-1}} v_0^{\frac{p-1}{r-1}})^{q-1}\widehat{u}^{p-q} = v_0^{p-1}.$$

This equality may be rewritten in the form

$$(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)t^{\frac{p-r}{r-1}})^{q-1} = t^{p-q}, \quad (15)$$

where $t = v_0\widehat{u}^{-1}$. It is easily seen that (15) has the unique solution $t = 1$. Consequently, $v_0 = \widehat{u}$ and it follows by (14) that $u_0 = \widehat{u}$.

If $p > r$, then we substitute

$$v_0 = \widehat{u}^{\frac{p-r}{p-1}} u_0^{\frac{r-1}{p-1}}$$

into (12). Similar to the previous case we obtain the equality which may be written in the form

$$(\alpha s^{\frac{r-p}{p-1}} + 1 - \alpha)^{q-1} = s^{r-q}, \quad (16)$$

where $s = u_0\widehat{u}^{-1}$. The unique solution of (16) is $s = 1$. Thus, for the case when $p > r$ we have the same solution of (12), (13) $u_0 = v_0 = \widehat{u}$. Hence, for all $u, v \geq 0$

$$F(u, v, \alpha) \geq \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2} F(u, v, \alpha) = F(\widehat{u}, \widehat{u}, \alpha).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.

1. Lower estimate. The extremal problem (6) (for convenience, we raise the quantity to be maximized to the q -th power) is as follows:

$$\int_T |\psi(t)x(t)|^q d\mu(t) \rightarrow \max, \quad \int_{T_0} |x(t)|^p d\mu(t) \leq \delta^p, \\ \int_T |\varphi(t)x(t)|^r d\mu(t) \leq 1. \quad (17)$$

The Lagrange function for this problem reads as

$$\mathcal{L}(x(\cdot), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \int_T L(t, x(t), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) d\mu(t),$$

where

$$L(t, x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -|\psi(t)x|^q + \lambda_1|x|^p\chi_{T_0}(t) + \lambda_2|\varphi(t)x|^r.$$

If $t \in T$ such that $\psi(t) = 0$, then evidently $\widehat{x}(t) = 0$ and for those t for all $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W}$

$$L(t, 0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq L(t, x(t), \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Using this fact and Lemma 3, we obtain that there is the unique solution $\widehat{x}(\cdot)$ of (2) and, moreover, for almost all $t \in T$ and all $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W}$

$$L(t, \widehat{x}(t), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq L(t, x(t), \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{L}(\widehat{x}(\cdot), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq \mathcal{L}(x(\cdot), \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Taking into account (3) we obtain by Lemma 2 that $\widehat{x}(\cdot)$ is the extremal function in (17). It follows by (5) that

$$E(p, q, r) \geq \left(\int_T |\psi(t)|^q \widehat{x}^q(t) d\mu(t) \right)^{1/q}.$$

From (2) we have

$$|\psi(t)|^q \widehat{x}^q(t) = q^{-1} p \lambda_1 \widehat{x}^p(t) \chi_{T_0}(t) + q^{-1} r \lambda_2 |\varphi(t)|^r \widehat{x}^r(t).$$

Integrating this equality over the set T , we obtain

$$\int_T |\psi(t)|^q \widehat{x}^q(t) d\mu(t) = \frac{p \lambda_1 \delta^p + r \lambda_2}{q}. \quad (18)$$

Thus,

$$E(p, q, r) \geq \left(\frac{p \lambda_1 \delta^p + r \lambda_2}{q} \right)^{1/q}.$$

2. Upper estimate. To estimate the error of method (4) we need to find the value of the extremal problem:

$$\int_{T_0} |\psi(t)x(t) - \psi(t)\alpha(t)y(t)|^q d\mu(t) + \int_{T \setminus T_0} |\psi(t)x(t)|^q d\mu(t) \rightarrow \max, \\ \int_{T_0} |x(t) - y(t)|^p d\mu(t) \leq \delta^p, \quad \int_T |\varphi(t)x(t)|^r d\mu(t) \leq 1, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} q^{-1}p\lambda_1 \widehat{x}^{p-q}(t) |\psi(t)|^{-q}, & t \in T_0, \psi(t) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Taking

$$z(t) = \begin{cases} x(t) - y(t), & t \in T_0, \\ 0, & t \in T \setminus T_0, \end{cases}$$

we rewrite (19) as follows:

$$\int_T |\psi(t)|^q |(1 - \alpha(t))x(t) + \alpha(t)z(t)|^q d\mu(t) \rightarrow \max, \\ \int_{T_0} |z(t)|^p d\mu(t) \leq \delta^p, \quad \int_T |\varphi(t)x(t)|^r d\mu(t) \leq 1.$$

The value of this problem does not exceed the value of the problem

$$\int_T |\psi(t)|^q ((1 - \alpha(t))u(t) + \alpha(t)v(t))^q d\mu(t) \rightarrow \max, \\ \int_{T_0} v^p(t) d\mu(t) \leq \delta^p, \quad \int_T |\varphi(t)|^r u^r(t) d\mu(t) \leq 1, \\ u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for almost all } t \in T. \quad (21)$$

The Lagrange function for this problem is

$$\mathcal{L}_1(u(\cdot), v(\cdot), \mu_1, \mu_2) = \int_T L_1(t, u(t), v(t), \mu_1, \mu_2) d\mu(t),$$

where

$$L_1(t, u, v, \mu_1, \mu_2) = -|\psi(t)|^q ((1 - \alpha(t))u + \alpha(t)v)^q \\ + \mu_1 v^p \chi_0(t) + \mu_2 |\varphi(t)|^r u^r.$$

By Lemma 3 we have

$$L_1(t, \widehat{x}(t), \widehat{x}(t), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq L_1(t, u(t), v(t), \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\widehat{x}(\cdot), \widehat{x}(\cdot), \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq \mathcal{L}_1(u(\cdot), v(\cdot), \lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

It follows by Lemma 2 that functions $u(t) = v(t) = \widehat{x}(t)$ are extremal in (21). Consequently,

$$e(p, q, r, \widehat{m}) \leq \left(\int_T |\psi(t)|^q \widehat{x}^q(t) d\mu(t) \right)^{1/q} = \left(\frac{p\lambda_1\delta^p + r\lambda_2}{q} \right)^{1/q} \leq E(p, q, r).$$

It means that the method (4) is optimal and the optimal recovery error is as stated. \square

Note that if conditions of Theorem 1 hold we proved the equality

$$E(p, q, r) = \sup_{\substack{\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta \\ \|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T, \mu)} \leq 1}} \|\psi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T, \mu)}. \quad (22)$$

Corollary 1. *Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$, and*

$$0 < \int_T \left| \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \right|^{\frac{qr}{r-q}} d\mu(t) < \infty, \quad \int_{T_0} \left(\frac{|\psi(t)|^q}{|\varphi(t)|^r} \right)^{\frac{p}{r-q}} d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

Then for all

$$\delta \geq \frac{\left(\int_{T_0} \left(\frac{|\psi(t)|^q}{|\varphi(t)|^r} \right)^{\frac{p}{r-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{1/p}}{\left(\int_T \left| \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \right|^{\frac{qr}{r-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r}}$$

$$E(p, q, r) = \left(\int_T \left| \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \right|^{\frac{qr}{r-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{r-q}{qr}},$$

and the method $\widehat{m}(y)(t) = 0$ is optimal recovery method.

Proof. It suffices to check that $\lambda_1 = 0$ and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{q}{r} \left(\int_T \left| \frac{\psi(t)}{\varphi(t)} \right|^{\frac{qr}{r-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{r-q}{r}}$$

satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. \square

Corollary 2. *Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, $T_0 = T$, and*

$$0 < \int_T |\varphi(t)|^r |\psi(t)|^{\frac{qr}{p-q}} d\mu(t) < \infty, \quad \int_T |\psi(t)|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

Then for all

$$\delta \leq \frac{\left(\int_T |\psi(t)|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{1/p}}{\left(\int_T |\varphi(t)|^r |\psi(t)|^{\frac{qr}{p-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{1/r}}$$

$$E(p, q, r) = \delta \left(\int_T |\psi(t)|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{qp}},$$

and the method $\widehat{m}(y)(t) = \psi(t)y(t)$ is optimal recovery method.

Proof. It suffices to check that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{q}{p\delta^{p-q}} \left(\int_T |\psi(t)|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} d\mu(t) \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}$$

and $\lambda_2 = 0$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. \square

Note that assumption (3) need not be satisfied in all cases. For example, in the trivial case $\delta = 0$, $T_0 = T$, and $\psi(t) = 1$ there are no such λ_1 and λ_2 which satisfy (3).

Let us consider the problem of optimal recovery of the linear functional

$$Lx = \int_T \psi(t)x(t) d\mu(t)$$

on the class W , knowing $y(\cdot) \in L_p(T_0, \mu)$, $T_0 \subset T$, such that $\|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta$, $\delta \geq 0$. In this case as recovery methods we consider all possible mappings $m: L_p(T_0, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . The error of a method m is defined as

$$e_1(p, r, m) = \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W, y(\cdot) \in L_p(T_0, \mu) \\ \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta}} |Lx - m(y)|.$$

The quantity

$$E_1(p, r) = \inf_{m: L_p(T_0, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R})} e_1(p, r, m) \quad (23)$$

is optimal recovery error, and a method on which this infimum is attained is called optimal.

Theorem 1'. Let $1 < p, r < \infty$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$, $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T \setminus T_0$, $\widehat{x}(t) = \widehat{x}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \geq 0$ be a solution of equation

$$-|\psi(t)| + p\lambda_1 x^{p-1}(t)\chi_0(t) + r\lambda_2 |\varphi(t)|^r x^{r-1}(t) = 0,$$

and λ_1, λ_2 such that conditions (3) are fulfilled, and $\lambda_2 > 0$, if $T \setminus T_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$E_1(p, r) = p\lambda_1 \delta^p + r\lambda_2,$$

and the method

$$\widehat{m}(y) = p\lambda_1 \int_{T_0} \widehat{x}^{p-1}(t)\varepsilon(t)y(t) d\mu(t), \quad (24)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi(t)}{|\psi(t)|}, & \psi(t) \neq 0, \\ 1, & \psi(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

is optimal recovery method.

Proof. For the functional case it is known (see, for example, [6]) that

$$E_1(p, r) = \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W \\ \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta}} \left| \int_T \psi(t)x(t) d\mu(t) \right|.$$

Put $\tilde{x}(\cdot) = \overline{\varepsilon(\cdot)\hat{x}(\cdot)}$. It follows by (3) that $\tilde{x}(\cdot) \in W$ and $\|\tilde{x}(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta$. Taking into account (18), we obtain

$$E_1(p, r) \geq \left| \int_T \psi(t)\tilde{x}(t) d\mu(t) \right| = \int_T |\psi(t)|\tilde{x}(t) d\mu(t) = p\lambda_1\delta^p + r\lambda_2.$$

Now we estimate the error of method (24). We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(p, r, \hat{m}) &= \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W, y(\cdot) \in L_p(T_0, \mu) \\ \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta}} \left| \int_T \psi(t)x(t) d\mu(t) - \hat{m}(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W, z(\cdot) \in L_p(T_0, \mu) \\ \|z(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T_0, \mu)} \leq \delta}} \int_T |\psi(t)| |(1 - \alpha(t))x(t) + \alpha(t)z(t)| d\mu(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(\cdot)$ is defined by (20) for $q = 1$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that

$$E_1(p, r) \leq e_1(p, r, \hat{m}) \leq \int_T |\psi(t)|\hat{x}(t) d\mu(t) = p\lambda_1\delta^p + r\lambda_2.$$

□

One can easily obtain analogs of Corollaries 1 and 2 for problem (23).

3. The case of homogenous weight functions

Let T be a cone in a linear space, $T_0 = T$, $|\psi(\cdot)|$ and $|\varphi(\cdot)|$ be homogenous functions of degrees η , ν , respectively, $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ and $\psi(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$, and $\mu(\cdot)$ be a homogenous measure of degree d . We assume, again, that $1 \leq p < q, r < \infty$. For $k \in [0, 1)$ the function $k^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(1-k)^{-\frac{1}{r-q}}$ increases monotonically from 0 to $+\infty$. Consequently, for all $z \in T$ such that $\varphi(z) \neq 0$ and $\psi(z) \neq 0$ (if $p < r$), there exists $k(z)$ for which

$$\frac{k^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(z)}{(1-k(z))^{\frac{1}{r-q}}} = \frac{|\psi(z)|^{\frac{q(p-r)}{(p-q)(r-q)}}}{|\varphi(z)|^{\frac{r}{r-q}}}. \quad (25)$$

Thus, the function $k(z)$ is well defined for almost all $z \in T$.

Theorem 2. Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, $\varphi(t), \psi(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$, and $\nu + d(1/r - 1/p) \neq 0$. Assume that

$$I_1 = \int_T |\psi(z)|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} k^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(z) d\mu(z) < \infty,$$

$$I_2 = \int_T |\psi(z)|^{\frac{qr}{p-q}} |\varphi(z)|^r k^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(z) d\mu(z) < \infty.$$

Then

$$E(p, q, r) = \delta^\gamma I_1^{-\gamma/p} I_2^{-(1-\gamma)/r} (I_1 + I_2)^{1/q},$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{\nu - \eta - d(1/q - 1/r)}{\nu + d(1/r - 1/p)}, \quad (26)$$

and the method

$$\hat{m}(y)(t) = k(\xi t) \psi(t) y(t),$$

where

$$\xi = \left(\delta I_1^{-1/p} I_2^{1/r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu + d(1/r - 1/p)}}, \quad (27)$$

is optimal recovery method.

Proof. Put

$$\hat{x}(t) = \left(\frac{q|\psi(t)|^q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} k^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(\xi t),$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ will be specified later. We show that $\hat{x}(\cdot)$ satisfies (2), where

$$\lambda_2 = r^{-1} q^{\frac{p-r}{p-q}} (p\lambda_1)^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}} \xi^{\nu r - \eta \frac{q(p-r)}{p-q}}. \quad (28)$$

We have

$$p\lambda_1 \hat{x}^{p-q}(t) = q|\psi(t)|^q k(\xi t),$$

and further,

$$r\lambda_2 |\varphi(t)|^r \hat{x}^{r-q}(t) = r\lambda_2 |\varphi(t)|^r \left(\frac{q|\psi(t)|^q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}} k^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}}(\xi t).$$

Since $|\varphi(\cdot)|$ and $|\psi(\cdot)|$ are homogenous it follows by (25) that

$$k^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}}(\xi t) = \frac{|\psi(\xi t)|^{\frac{q(p-r)}{p-q}}}{|\varphi(\xi t)|^r} (1 - k(\xi t)) = \xi^{\eta \frac{q(p-r)}{p-q} - \nu r} \frac{|\psi(t)|^{\frac{q(p-r)}{p-q}}}{|\varphi(t)|^r} (1 - k(\xi t)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} r\lambda_2 |\varphi(t)|^r \hat{x}^{r-q}(t) &= r\lambda_2 \left(\frac{q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}} \xi^{\eta \frac{q(p-r)}{p-q} - \nu r} |\psi(t)|^q (1 - k(\xi t)) \\ &= q|\psi(t)|^q (1 - k(\xi t)) = q|\psi(t)|^q - p\lambda_1 \hat{x}^{p-q}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that for

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{q}{p} I_1^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \xi^{-\eta q - d \frac{p-q}{p}} \delta^{q-p} \quad (29)$$

the equalities

$$\int_T \widehat{x}^p(t) d\mu(t) = \delta^p, \quad \int_T |\varphi(t)|^r \widehat{x}^r(t) d\mu(t) = 1$$

hold. In view of the definition of $\widehat{x}(\cdot)$ we need to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \left(\frac{q|\psi(t)|^q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} k^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\xi t) d\mu(t) &= \delta^p, \\ \int_T |\varphi(t)|^r \left(\frac{q|\psi(t)|^q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{r}{p-q}} k^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(\xi t) d\mu(t) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Changing $z = \xi t$ and taking into account that functions $|\psi(\cdot)|$, $|\varphi(\cdot)|$ with the measure $\mu(\cdot)$ are homogenous, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} I_1 &= \delta^p \xi^{\frac{\eta q p}{p-q} + d}, \\ \left(\frac{q}{p\lambda_1} \right)^{\frac{r}{p-q}} I_2 &= \xi^{\frac{\eta q r}{p-q} + \nu r + d}. \end{aligned}$$

The validity of these equalities immediately follows from the definitions of λ_1 and ξ .

It follows by Theorem 1, (29), (28), and (27) that

$$\begin{aligned} E^q(p, q, r) &= \frac{p\lambda_1 \delta^p + r\lambda_2}{q} = I_1^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \xi^{-\eta q - d \frac{p-q}{p}} \delta^q + \left(\frac{p\lambda_1}{q} \right)^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}} \xi^{\nu r - \eta \frac{q(p-r)}{p-q}} \\ &= \delta^{q\gamma} I_1^{-q\gamma/p} I_2^{-q(1-\gamma)/r} (I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the same theorem states that the method

$$\widehat{m}(y)(t) = q^{-1} p \lambda_1 \widehat{x}^{p-q}(t) |\psi(t)|^{-q} \psi(t) y(t) = k(\xi t) \psi(t) y(t)$$

is optimal. \square

It follows by Theorem 2 and (22) that for all $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T, \mu)} \leq 1$ the exact inequality

$$\|\psi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T, \mu)} \leq C \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T, \mu)}^\gamma \quad (30)$$

holds, where

$$C = I_1^{-\gamma/p} I_2^{-(1-\gamma)/r} (I_1 + I_2)^{1/q}.$$

(Here and later the exactness means that C cannot be replaced by any other constant smaller than C).

From (30) the following exact inequality can be easily obtained

$$\|\psi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T,\mu)} \leq C\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T,\mu)}^\gamma \|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T,\mu)}^{1-\gamma}, \quad (31)$$

which holds for all $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W}$, $x(\cdot) \neq 0$.

Let $|w(\cdot)|$, $|w_0(\cdot)|$, and $|w_1(\cdot)|$ be homogenous functions of degrees θ , θ_0 , and θ_1 , respectively. We assume that $w(t), w_0(t), w_1(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$ and $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$. Then for almost all $z \in T$ such that $w(z), w_0(z), w_1(z) \neq 0$ there exists $\tilde{k}(z)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\tilde{k}^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(z)}{(1 - \tilde{k}(z))^{\frac{1}{r-q}}} = \left| \frac{w(z)}{w_1(z)} \right|^{\frac{r}{r-q}} \left| \frac{w_0(z)}{w(z)} \right|^{\frac{p}{p-q}}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta + d/q, \quad \tilde{\theta}_0 = \theta_0 + d/p, \quad \tilde{\theta}_1 = \theta_1 + d/r. \quad (32)$$

Corollary 3. *Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, $w(t), w_0(t), w_1(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$, and $\tilde{\theta}_0 \neq \tilde{\theta}_1$. Assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1 &= \int_T \left| \frac{w(z)}{w_0(z)} \right|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} \tilde{k}^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(z) d\mu(z) < \infty, \\ \tilde{I}_2 &= \int_T \frac{|w(z)|^{\frac{qr}{p-q}}}{|w_0(z)|^{\frac{pr}{p-q}}} |w_1(z)|^r \tilde{k}^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(z) d\mu(z) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $x(\cdot) \neq 0$ such that $w_0(\cdot)x(\cdot) \in L_p(T, \mu)$ and $w_1(\cdot)x(\cdot) \in L_r(T, \mu)$ the exact inequality

$$\|w(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T,\mu)} \leq \tilde{C}\|w_0(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T,\mu)}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \|w_1(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T,\mu)}^{1-\tilde{\gamma}} \quad (33)$$

holds; here

$$\tilde{C} = \tilde{I}_1^{-\tilde{\gamma}/p} \tilde{I}_2^{-(1-\tilde{\gamma})/r} (\tilde{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_2)^{1/q}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_1 - \tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{\theta}_1 - \tilde{\theta}_0}.$$

Proof. Put

$$\psi(x) = \frac{w(x)}{w_0(x)}, \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{w_1(x)}{w_0(x)}.$$

Then $|\psi(\cdot)|$ and $|\varphi(\cdot)|$ are homogeneous functions of degrees $\eta = \theta - \theta_0$ and $\nu = \theta_1 - \theta_0$, respectively. It follows by (31) that for all $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{W}$, $x(\cdot) \neq 0$, the exact inequality

$$\|\psi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T,\mu)} \leq \tilde{C}\|x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T,\mu)}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \|\varphi(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T,\mu)}^{1-\tilde{\gamma}}$$

holds. Substituting $x(\cdot) = w_0(\cdot)y(\cdot)$, we obtain (33). \square

The well-known Carlson inequality [4]

$$\|x(t)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \sqrt{\pi} \|x(t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^{1/2} \|tx(t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^{1/2} \quad (34)$$

was generalized in many directions (see [5], [1], [3]). Inequality (33) is also a generalization of the Carlson inequality.

Let $1 \leq p < q, r < \infty$, T be a cone in \mathbb{R}^d , $d\mu(t) = dt$, $|\psi(\cdot)|$ and $|\varphi(\cdot)|$ be homogenous functions of degrees η, ν , respectively, $\varphi(t) \neq 0$ and $\psi(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$. Thus $\mu(\cdot)$ is a homogeneous measure of degree d . Consider the polar transformation

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \rho \cos \omega_1, \\ x_2 &= \rho \sin \omega_1 \cos \omega_2, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ x_{d-1} &= \rho \sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \cos \omega_{d-1}, \\ x_d &= \rho \sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \sin \omega_{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{d-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(\omega) &= \rho^{-\eta} |\psi(\rho \cos \omega_1, \dots, \rho \sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \sin \omega_{d-1})|, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(\omega) &= \rho^{-\nu} |\varphi(\rho \cos \omega_1, \dots, \rho \sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \sin \omega_{d-1})|. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Denote by Ω the range of ω . Since T is a cone, Ω does not depend on ρ . Put

$$J(\omega) = \sin^{d-2} \omega_1 \sin^{d-3} \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2}.$$

By (25) we obtain the following equality for $k(\cdot)$:

$$\frac{k^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega)}{(1 - k(\rho, \omega))^{\frac{1}{r-q}}} = \rho^{\frac{\eta q(p-r) - \nu r(p-q)}{(p-q)(r-q)}} \frac{\tilde{\psi}^{\frac{q(p-r)}{(p-q)(r-q)}}(\omega)}{\tilde{\varphi}^{\frac{r}{r-q}}(\omega)}. \quad (36)$$

Assume that $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, where γ is defined by (26). Put

$$\frac{1}{q^*} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\gamma}{p} - \frac{1-\gamma}{r}. \quad (37)$$

It is easy to verify that $q^* > q \geq 1$. Moreover,

$$q^* = \frac{pqr(\nu + d(1/r - 1/p))}{\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)}.$$

Theorem 3. *Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, and $\tilde{\varphi}(\omega), \tilde{\psi}(\omega) \neq 0$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$. Assume that*

$$I = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\psi}^{q^*}(\omega)}{\tilde{\varphi}^{q^*(1-\gamma)}(\omega)} J(\omega) d\omega < \infty.$$

Then

$$E(p, q, r) = C_1 \delta^\gamma,$$

where

$$C_1 = \gamma^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}} (1 - \gamma)^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{r}} \left(\frac{B(q^* \gamma/p, q^*(1-\gamma)/r) I}{|\nu + d(1/r - 1/p)|(\gamma r + (1-\gamma)p)} \right)^{1/q^*},$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the beta-function. Moreover, the method

$$\widehat{m}(y)(t) = k \left(\xi_1^{\frac{1}{\nu+d(1/r-1/p)}} t \right) \psi(t)y(t),$$

where

$$\xi_1 = \delta (\gamma^{q-r} (1-\gamma)^{p-q} C_1^{p-r})^{\frac{q^*}{pqr}},$$

is optimal recovery method.

Proof. Using Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_T |\psi(z)|^{\frac{qp}{p-q}} k^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(z) dz \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\psi}^{\frac{qp}{p-q}}(\omega) J(\omega) d\omega \int_0^{+\infty} \rho^{\frac{\eta qp}{p-q} + d - 1} k^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega) d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

By (36) we have

$$\rho^{\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)} = \frac{(1 - k(\rho, \omega))^{p-q} \widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{k^{r-q}(\rho, \omega) \widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)}. \quad (38)$$

Fixing ω , we pass to k

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho^{\frac{\eta qp}{p-q} + d} &= \left(\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{\widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)} \right)^{\zeta} d \frac{(1-k)^{(p-q)\zeta}}{k^{(r-q)\zeta}} \\ &= -\zeta \left(\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{\widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)} \right)^{\zeta} \frac{(1-k)^{(p-q)\zeta-1}}{k^{(r-q)\zeta+1}} (r-q + (p-r)k) dk, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta = \frac{\eta qp + d(p-q)}{(p-q)(\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r))} = \frac{q^*(1-\gamma)}{r(p-q)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} \rho^{\frac{\eta qp}{p-q} + d - 1} k^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega) d\rho \\ &= \frac{p-q}{\eta qp + d(p-q)} \int_0^{+\infty} k^{\frac{p}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega) d\rho^{\frac{\eta qp}{p-q} + d} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)|} \left(\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{\widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)} \right)^{\zeta} (K_1 + K_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= (r-q) \int_0^1 k^{\widehat{p}}(1-k)^{\widehat{q}-1} dk = (r-q)B(\widehat{p}+1, \widehat{q}), \\
K_2 &= (p-r) \int_0^1 k^{\widehat{p}+1}(1-k)^{\widehat{q}-1} dk = (p-r)B(\widehat{p}+2, \widehat{q}) \\
&= (p-r) \frac{\widehat{p}+1}{\widehat{p}+\widehat{q}+1} B(\widehat{p}+1, \widehat{q}), \\
\widehat{p} &= \frac{qr(\nu-\eta) - d(r-q)}{\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)} = q^* \frac{\gamma}{p}, \quad \widehat{q} = \frac{\eta qp + d(p-q)}{\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)} = q^* \frac{1-\gamma}{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 + K_2 &= p \frac{\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)}{\nu pr + d(p-r)} B(\widehat{p}+1, \widehat{q}) = \frac{pq}{q^*} B(\widehat{p}+1, \widehat{q}) \\
&= \frac{q\gamma}{q^*} \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{1-\gamma}{r} \right)^{-1} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q}).
\end{aligned}$$

The analogous calculations give

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \int_T |\psi(z)|^{\frac{qr}{p-q}} |\varphi(z)|^r k^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(z) d\mu(z) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\psi}^{\frac{qr}{p-q}}(\omega) \widetilde{\varphi}^r(\omega) J(\omega) d\omega \int_0^{+\infty} \rho^{\frac{ngr}{p-q} + \nu r + d - 1} k^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega) d\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

Fixing ω , we pass to k

$$\begin{aligned}
d\rho^{\frac{ngr}{p-q} + \nu r + d} &= \left(\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{\widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)} \right)^{\zeta_1} d \frac{(1-k)^{(p-q)\zeta_1}}{k^{(r-q)\zeta_1}} \\
&= -\zeta_1 \left(\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{\widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)} \right)^{\zeta_1} \frac{(1-k)^{(p-q)\zeta_1-1}}{k^{(r-q)\zeta_1+1}} (r-q + (p-r)k) dk,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{\eta qr + (\nu r + d)(p-q)}{(p-q)(\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r))} = \frac{q^*(1-\gamma)}{r(p-q)} + \frac{1}{p-q}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{+\infty} \rho^{\frac{ngr}{p-q} + \nu r + d - 1} k^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega) d\rho \\
&= \frac{p-q}{\eta qr + (\nu r + d)(p-q)} \int_0^{+\infty} k^{\frac{r}{p-q}}(\rho, \omega) d\rho^{\frac{ngr}{p-q} + \nu r + d} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\nu r(p-q) - \eta q(p-r)|} \left(\frac{\widetilde{\psi}^{q(p-r)}(\omega)}{\widetilde{\varphi}^{r(p-q)}(\omega)} \right)^{\zeta_1} (L_1 + L_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= (r - q) \int_0^1 k^{\widehat{p}-1} (1 - k)^{\widehat{q}} dk = (r - q) B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q} + 1), \\ L_2 &= (p - r) \int_0^1 k^{\widehat{p}} (1 - k)^{\widehat{q}} dk = (p - r) B(\widehat{p} + 1, \widehat{q} + 1) \\ &= (p - r) \frac{\widehat{p}}{\widehat{p} + \widehat{q} + 1} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 + L_2 &= r \frac{\nu r(p - q) - \eta q(p - r)}{\nu pr + d(p - r)} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q} + 1) = \frac{qr}{q^*} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q} + 1) \\ &= \frac{q(1 - \gamma)}{q^*} \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{1 - \gamma}{r} \right)^{-1} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q}). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{\gamma}{pr|\nu + d(1/r - 1/p)|} \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{1 - \gamma}{r} \right)^{-1} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q}) I, \\ I_2 &= \frac{1 - \gamma}{pr|\nu + d(1/r - 1/p)|} \left(\frac{\gamma}{p} + \frac{1 - \gamma}{r} \right)^{-1} B(\widehat{p}, \widehat{q}) I. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply Theorem 2. \square

Note that for $d = 1$ we have $I = 1$ when $T = \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I = 2$ when $T = \mathbb{R}$.

Assume that $|w(\cdot)|$, $|w_0(\cdot)|$, and $|w_1(\cdot)|$ are homogenous functions of degrees θ , θ_0 , and θ_1 , respectively. Define $\tilde{w}(\cdot)$, $\tilde{w}_0(\cdot)$, $\tilde{w}_1(\cdot)$ by the analogy with (35).

From Theorem 2 (analogously to Corollary 3) we immediately obtain

Corollary 4 ([3]²). *Suppose that $w(t), w_0(t), w_1(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t \in T$, $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty, \tilde{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$, where*

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_1 - \tilde{\theta}}{\tilde{\theta}_1 - \tilde{\theta}_0},$$

and $\tilde{\theta}$, $\tilde{\theta}_0$, and $\tilde{\theta}_1$ are defined by (32). Moreover, assume that

$$\tilde{I} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{w}^{\tilde{q}}(\omega)}{\tilde{w}_0^{\tilde{q}\tilde{\gamma}}(\omega) \tilde{w}_1^{\tilde{q}(1-\tilde{\gamma})}(\omega)} J(\omega) d\omega < \infty,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p} - \frac{1 - \tilde{\gamma}}{r}.$$

²The exact constant in [3] (formula (10)) was given with a misprint.

Then the exact inequality

$$\|w(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_q(T,\mu)} \leq \tilde{C}_1 \|w_0(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_p(T,\mu)}^{\tilde{\gamma}} \|w_1(\cdot)x(\cdot)\|_{L_r(T,\mu)}^{1-\tilde{\gamma}} \quad (39)$$

holds; here

$$\tilde{C}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}^{-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{p}} (1-\tilde{\gamma})^{-\frac{1-\tilde{\gamma}}{r}} \left(\frac{B(\tilde{q}\tilde{\gamma}/p, \tilde{q}(1-\tilde{\gamma})/r) \tilde{I}}{|\theta_1 - \theta_0|(\tilde{\gamma}r + (1-\tilde{\gamma})p)} \right)^{1/\tilde{q}}.$$

Put

$$w_0(t) = 1, \quad w_1(t) = t^{1-(\lambda+1)/p}, \quad w_2(t) = t^{1+(\mu-1)/q}.$$

From Corollary 4 we obtain

Corollary 5 ([5]). *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Put*

$$\alpha = \frac{\mu}{p\mu + q\lambda}, \quad \beta = \frac{\lambda}{p\mu + q\lambda}.$$

Then the exact inequality

$$\|x(t)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \|t^{1-(\lambda+1)/p}x(t)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)}^{p\alpha} \|t^{1+(\mu-1)/q}x(t)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)}^{q\beta}$$

holds; here

$$C = \frac{1}{(p\alpha)^\alpha (q\beta)^\beta} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda + \mu} B\left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha-\beta}, \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha-\beta}\right) \right)^{1-\alpha-\beta}.$$

Using Theorem 1' and calculations from the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 we obtain

Theorem 3'. *Let $1 < p, r < \infty$, $\tilde{\varphi}(\omega), \tilde{\psi}(\omega) \neq 0$ for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\gamma, q^*, I, k(\cdot), C_1, \xi_1$ as above but for $q = 1$. Assume that $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $I < \infty$. Then*

$$E_1(p, r) = C_1 \delta^\gamma.$$

Moreover, the method

$$\hat{m}(y) = \int_T k\left(\xi_1^{\frac{1}{\nu+d(1/r-1/p)}} t\right) \psi(t)y(t) d\mu(t)$$

is optimal recovery method.

4. Optimal recovery of functions from a noisy Fourier transform

Let S be the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R} , S' the corresponding space of distributions, and let $F: S' \rightarrow S'$ be the Fourier transform. We let \mathcal{F}_p denote the space of distribution $x(\cdot)$ in S' for which

$$\|x(\cdot)\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Fx(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_p^n &= \{x(\cdot) \in S' : \|x^{(n)}(\cdot)\|_p < \infty\}, \\ F_p^n &= \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}_p^n : \|x^{(n)}(\cdot)\|_p \leq 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that the Fourier transform of a function $x(\cdot) \in F_r^n \cap \mathcal{F}_p$ is known on \mathbb{R} to within $\delta > 0$ in the metric of $L_p(\mathbb{R})$. In other words, we know a function $y(\cdot) \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|Fx(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \delta$. How should we best use this information to recover the l th derivative of the function in the metric \mathcal{F}_q , $0 \leq l < n$? By recovery methods here we mean all possible mappings $m: L_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q$. The error of a method is, by definition, the quantity

$$e_{p,q,r}(m) = \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in F_r^n \cap \mathcal{F}_p, y(\cdot) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}) \\ \|Fx(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_p(\Delta_\sigma)} \leq \delta}} \|x^{(l)}(\cdot) - m(y)(\cdot)\|_q.$$

The optimal recovery error is defined as follows:

$$E_{p,q,r} = \inf_{m: L_p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_q} e_{p,q,r}(m).$$

A method on which this lower bound is attained is called optimal.

It is readily checked that this problem is a special case of the general problem (1) with $T = T_0 = \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(t) = (it)^l$, $\varphi(t) = (it)^n$.

The cases 1) $1 \leq q = r < p < \infty$, 2) $1 \leq q = p < r < \infty$, 3) $1 \leq q = p = r < \infty$, and 4) $1 \leq q < p = r < \infty$ were studied in [14].

For the case $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$ we can apply Theorem 3. In this case

$$\frac{k^{\frac{1}{p-q}}(t)}{(1-k(t))^{\frac{1}{r-q}}} = |t|^{\frac{lq(p-r)-nr(p-q)}{(p-q)(r-q)}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{n-l-1/q+1/r}{n+1/r-1/p},$$

and $I = 2$. It is easy to verify that if $n > l + 1/q - 1/r$, then $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Thus, it follows by Theorem 3

Theorem 4. *Let $1 \leq q < p, r < \infty$ and $n > l + 1/q - 1/r$. Then*

$$E_{p,q,r} = C_1 \delta^\gamma, \tag{40}$$

where

$$C_1 = \gamma^{-\frac{\gamma}{p}} (1-\gamma)^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{r}} \left(\frac{2B(q^* \gamma/p, q^*(1-\gamma)/r)}{(n+1/r-1/p)(\gamma r + (1-\gamma)p)} \right)^{1/q^*}$$

and q^* is defined by (37). Moreover, the method $\widehat{m}(y)(\cdot) = F^{-1}Y_y(\cdot)$ is optimal, where

$$Y_y(t) = (it)^l k \left(\xi_1^{\frac{1}{n+1/r-1/p}} t \right) y(t), \quad \xi_1 = \delta (\gamma^{q-r} (1-\gamma)^{p-q} C_1^{p-r})^{\frac{q^*}{pqr}}.$$

Note that case 4) immediately follows from Theorem 4 for $p = r$. In cases 1)–3) the optimal recovery error coincides with the limits $\lim_{r \rightarrow q} E_{p,q,r}$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow q} E_{p,q,r}$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow q} E_{p,q,p}$, respectively, where $E_{p,q,r}$ is given by (40).

5. Optimal recovery of derivatives and generalized Carlson-Levin-Taikov inequalities

For functions $x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ whose $(n-1)$ st derivative is locally absolutely continuous and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, L. V. Taikov [16] obtained exact inequality

$$|x^{(k)}(0)| \leq K \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{2n}} \|x^{(n)}(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n}},$$

where

$$K = \left(\frac{2k+1}{2n-2k-1} \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \left((2k+1) \sin \frac{2k+1}{2n} \pi \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Passing to the Fourier transform we have the following equivalent inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k Fx(t) dt \right| \leq K \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |Fx(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \times \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2n} |Fx(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2k+1}{4n}}.$$

Set $g(t) = t^k Fx(t)$. Then we obtain the following inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) dt \right| \leq K \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-2k} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2(n-k)} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2k+1}{4n}}.$$

Put $p = q = 2$, $\lambda = 2k+1$, and $\mu = 2n-2k-1$. Then by Corollary 4 we have

$$\int_0^\infty |g(t)| dt \leq C \left(\int_0^\infty t^{-2k} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \times \left(\int_0^\infty t^{2(n-k)} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2k+1}{4n}},$$

where

$$C = \left(\frac{2k+1}{2n-2k-1} \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} (2k+1)^{-1/2} B^{1/2} \left(\frac{2n-2k-1}{2n}, \frac{2k+1}{2n} \right).$$

Since

$$B \left(1 - \frac{2k+1}{2n}, \frac{2k+1}{2n} \right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{2k+1}{2n} \pi}$$

we have

$$C = \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{2k+1}{2n-2k-1} \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \left((2k+1) \sin \frac{2k+1}{2n} \pi \right)^{-1/2}.$$

From the inequality

$$a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 \leq 2^{1-s-t} (a_1^{1/r} + a_2^{1/r})^r (b_1^{1/s} + b_2^{1/s})^s$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(t)| dt &= \int_{-\infty}^0 |g(t)| dt + \int_0^{\infty} |g(t)| dt \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 t^{-2k} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 t^{2(n-k)} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2k+1}{4n}} \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{-2k} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} t^{2(n-k)} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2k+1}{4n}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-2k} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-1}{4n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2(n-k)} |g(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{2k+1}{4n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Taikov's inequality follows from Levin's inequality.

This inequality is closely connected with the problem of optimal recovery of derivatives from inaccurate information about the Fourier transform (see [9]). We consider such problem in multidimensional case.

Consider linear operators $D_1: L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $D_2: L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (D_1 and D_2 are not necessary differentiation operators). Put

$$W = \{ x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|D_2 x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1 \}.$$

We consider the problem of optimal recovery of $D_1 x(\tau)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d$, on the class W from the information about $x(\cdot)$, given inaccurately in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -metric.

As recovery methods we consider all possible mappings $m: L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . The error of a method m is defined as

$$e(m) = \sup_{\substack{x(\cdot) \in W, y(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \delta}} |D_1 x(\tau) - m(y)|.$$

The quantity

$$E = \inf_{m: L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R})} e(m) \quad (41)$$

is known as the optimal recovery error, and a method on which this infimum is attained is called optimal.

For the case when $d = 1$, $D_1 x(\cdot) = x^{(k)}(\cdot)$, and $D_2 x(\cdot) = x^{(n)}(\cdot)$, $0 \leq k < n$, similar problems were considered in [9].

Let $d_1(t)$ and $d_2(\cdot)$ be measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^d . Put

$$X = \{ x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : d_2(\cdot) F x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \}.$$

We define the operator D_2 as follows

$$D_2 x(\cdot) = F^{-1}(d_2(\cdot) F x(\cdot))(\cdot).$$

Assume that $d_1(\cdot)Fx(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $x(\cdot) \in X$ and the operator D_1 which is defined by the equality

$$D_1x(\cdot) = F^{-1}(d_1(\cdot)Fx(\cdot))(\cdot)$$

maps X to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $|d_1(\cdot)|$ and $|d_2(\cdot)|$ be homogenous functions of degrees k, n , respectively (k and n are not necessarily integer), $d_j(t) \neq 0$, $j = 1, 2$, for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{d}_1(\omega) &= \rho^{-k}|d_1(\rho \cos \omega_1, \dots, \rho \sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \sin \omega_{d-1})|, \\ \tilde{d}_2(\omega) &= \rho^{-n}|d_2(\rho \cos \omega_1, \dots, \rho \sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \sin \omega_{d-1})|.\end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned}W &= \left\{ x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |d_2(t)Fx(t)|^2 dt \leq 1 \right\}, \\ \|x(\cdot) - y(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \|Fx(\cdot) - Fy(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$D_1x(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d_1(t)Fx(t)e^{i\langle \tau, t \rangle} dt,$$

where $\langle \tau, t \rangle = \tau_1 t_1 + \dots + \tau_d t_d$. Thus we obtain problem (23) with $p = r = 2$, $\delta_1 = \delta(2\pi)^{d/2}$,

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} d_1(t)e^{i\langle \tau, t \rangle}, \quad \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} d_2(t).$$

By Theorem 3' we have

Theorem 5. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $n > k + d/2$. Assume that*

$$I = \int_{\Pi_{d-1}} \frac{\tilde{d}_1^2(\omega)}{\tilde{d}_2^{\frac{2k+d}{n}}(\omega)} J(\omega) d\omega < \infty, \quad \Pi_{d-1} = [0, \pi]^{d-2} \times [0, 2\pi].$$

Then

$$E = \frac{(\pi I)^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} K_d(k, n) \delta^{\frac{2n-2k-d}{2n}},$$

where

$$K_d(k, n) = \left(\frac{2k+d}{2n-2k-d} \right)^{\frac{2n-2k-d}{4n}} \left((2k+d) \sin \frac{2k+d}{2n} \pi \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Moreover, the method

$$\hat{m}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d_1(t) \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2(2k+d)}{(2\pi)^d(2n-2k-d)} \right)^{-1} y(t) e^{i\langle \tau, t \rangle} dt$$

is optimal recovery method.

By this theorem analogously to (31) we obtain the exact inequality

$$|D_1 x(\tau)| \leq \frac{(\pi I)^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} K_d(k, n) \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2n-2k-d}{2n}} \|D_2 x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2k+d}{2n}}$$

or

$$\|D_1 x(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{(\pi I)^{1/2}}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} K_d(k, n) \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2n-2k-d}{2n}} \|D_2 x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2k+d}{2n}}. \quad (42)$$

Now we consider some examples. Define the operator $(-\Delta)^{n/2}$, $n \geq 0$, as follows

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} x(\cdot) = F^{-1}(|t|^n Fx(t))(\cdot).$$

Put $d_1(t) = |t|^k$ and $d_2(t) = |t|^n$. Then problem (41) is the problem of optimal recovery of $(-\Delta)^{k/2} x(\tau)$ on the class

$$W = \{x(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|(-\Delta)^{n/2} x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1\}$$

by the inaccurate information about $x(\cdot)$.

By Theorem 5 we obtain

Corollary 6. *Let $n > k + d/2$. Then*

$$E = C_d(k, n) \delta^{\frac{2n-2k-d}{2n}}, \quad C_d(k, n) = \frac{K_d(k, n)}{(2^{d-1} \pi^{d/2-1} \Gamma(d/2))^{1/2}},$$

and the method

$$\hat{m}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |t|^k \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2(2k+d)}{(2\pi)^d(2n-2k-d)}\right)^{-1} y(t) e^{i\langle \tau, t \rangle} dt$$

is optimal.

By (42) we get the exact inequality

$$\|(-\Delta)^{k/2} x(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_d(k, n) \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2n-2k-d}{2n}} \|(-\Delta)^{n/2} x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2k+d}{2n}}.$$

Consider one more example. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$. We define D^α (the derivative of order α) as follows:

$$D^\alpha x(\cdot) = F^{-1}((it)^\alpha Fx(t))(\cdot),$$

where $(it)^\alpha = (it_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (it_d)^{\alpha_d}$. Let $D_1 = D^\alpha$ and $D_2 = (-\Delta)^{n/2}$. Then (41) is the problem of optimal recovery of $D^\alpha x(\tau)$ on the class W by the inaccurate information about $x(\cdot)$.

From the well-known Dirichlet formula we have

$$\int_{\substack{x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_d \geq 0 \\ x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 \leq 1}} x_1^{p_1-1} \dots x_d^{p_d-1} dx_1 \dots dx_d = \frac{\Gamma(p_1/2) \dots \Gamma(p_d/2)}{2^d \Gamma(p_1/2 + \dots + p_d/2 + 1)},$$

$p_1, \dots, p_d > 0$. Using this formula and passing to the polar transformation we obtain

$$I(p_1, \dots, p_d) = \int_{\Pi_{d-1}} \Phi(\omega, p_1, \dots, p_d) J(\omega) d\omega = 2 \frac{\Gamma(p_1/2) \dots \Gamma(p_d/2)}{\Gamma(p_1/2 + \dots + p_d/2)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\omega, p_1, \dots, p_d) &= |\cos \omega_1|^{p_1-1} |\sin \omega_1 \cos \omega_2|^{p_2-1} \times \dots \\ &\times |\sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \cos \omega_{d-1}|^{p_{d-1}-1} \\ &\times |\sin \omega_1 \sin \omega_2 \dots \sin \omega_{d-2} \sin \omega_{d-1}|^{p_d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $d_1(t) = (it)^\alpha$ and $d_2(t) = |t|^n$ we have

$$I = I(2\alpha_1 + 1, \dots, 2\alpha_d + 1) = 2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1/2) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_d + 1/2)}{\Gamma(|\alpha| + d/2)},$$

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d$.

Corollary 7. *Let $n > |\alpha| + d/2$. Then*

$$E = C_{d,\alpha}(n) \delta^{\frac{2n-2|\alpha|-d}{2n}},$$

where

$$C_{d,\alpha}(n) = \frac{K_d(|\alpha|, n)}{(2\pi)^{(d-1)/2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1/2) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_d + 1/2)}{\Gamma(|\alpha| + d/2)} \right)^{1/2},$$

and the method

$$\widehat{m}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (it)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2(2|\alpha| + d)}{(2\pi)^d(2n - 2|\alpha| - d)} \right)^{-1} y(t) e^{i\langle \tau, t \rangle} dt$$

is optimal.

The exact inequality in this case has the form:

$$\|D^\alpha x(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_{d,\alpha}(n) \|x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2n-2|\alpha|-d}{2n}} \|(-\Delta)^{n/2} x(\cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{2|\alpha|+d}{2n}}.$$

References

- [1] Andrianov F. I. Multidimensional analogues of Carlson's inequality and its generalizations, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **1**(56) (1960) 3–7 (in Russian).
- [2] Arestov V. V. Approximation of linear operators, and related extremal problems, *Approximation of functions and operators*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **138** (1977) 31–44.

- [3] Barza S., Burenkov V., Pečarić J., Persson L.-E. Sharp multidimensional multiplicative inequalities for weighted L_p spaces with homogeneous weights, *Math. Ineq. Appl.* **1** (1998) 53–67.
- [4] Carlson F. Une inégalité, *Ark. Mat. Astr. Fysik* **25B** (1934) 1–5.
- [5] Levin V. I. Exact constants in inequalities of Carlson type, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **59** (1948), 635–638 (in Russian).
- [6] Magaril-Il'yaev G. G., Osipenko K. Yu. Optimal recovery of functionals based on inaccurate data, *Math. Notes* **50:6** (1991) 1274–1279.
- [7] Magaril-Il'yaev G. G., Osipenko K. Yu. Optimal recovery of functions and their derivatives from Fourier coefficients prescribed with an error, *Sbornic: Mathematics* **193:3** (2002), 387–407.
- [8] Magaril-Il'yaev G. G., Osipenko K. Yu. Optimal recovery of functions and their derivatives from inaccurate information about the spectrum and inequalities for derivatives, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **37:3** (2003), 203–214.
- [9] Magaril-Il'yaev G. G., Osipenko K. Yu. Optimal recovery of values of functions and their derivatives from inaccurate data on the Fourier transform, *Sbornic: Mathematics* **195:10** (2004) 1461–1476.
- [10] Magaril-Il'yaev G. G., Osipenko K. Yu. How best to recover a function from its inaccurately given spectrum? *Math. Notes* **92:1** (2012) 51–58.
- [11] Marchuk A. G., Osipenko K. Yu. Best approximation of functions specified with an error at a finite number of points, *Math. Notes* **17:3** (1975) 207–212.
- [12] Micchelli C. A., Rivlin T. J. A survey of optimal recovery. In: *Optimal Estimation in Approximation Theory* (C. A. Micchelli and T. J. Rivlin, eds.). Plenum Press, New York, 1977, 1–54.
- [13] Osipenko K. Yu. *Optimal Recovery of Analytic Functions*, Nova Science Publ., Inc., Huntington, New York 2000.
- [14] Osipenko K. Yu. Optimal recovery of linear operators in non-Euclidean metrics, *Sbornic: Mathematics* **205:10** (2014) 1442–1472.
- [15] Plaskota L. *Noisy Information and Computational Complexity*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [16] Taikov L. V. Kolmogorov-type inequalities and best formulas for numerical differentiation, *Math. Notes* **4:2** (1969) 631–634.
- [17] Traub J. F., Wasilkowski G. W., Woźniakowski H. *Information-Based Complexity*, Academic Press, New York 1988.
- [18] Traub J. F., Woźniakowski H. *A General Theory of Optimal Algorithms*, New York: Academic Press, 1980.